

Austin, TX 78767-0398

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/228,445 | 01/11/1999 | WILLIAM W. FREITAG JR. | 5000-74400 | 8570 |
| 7 | 590 03/18/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Robert C Kov | | | NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA | |
| P O Roy 398 | od Kivlin Kowert & G | oetzei PC | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |

2665 DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Annti-control | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Comments | 09/228,445 | FREITAG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Phuongchau Ba Nguyen | 2665 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-27 | -04 AF response . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 3-10,12 and 13 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Äpplication/Control Number: 09/228,445 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed

before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is

determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre
AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiu (6,327,259).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 11:

Chiu discloses a method for transmitting and receiving a serial data stream (HDLC) including alternating portions of multiple serial data channels, comprising:

Page 3

providing a plurality of functional units (512, 514, 516, 518 in fig.7) each configured to perform a specific function of a serial communication protocol (HDLC) upon the portions (time slot) of the multiple serial data channels {col.5, lines 28–63}, wherein each functional unit is a state machine having a set of unique operating states (active or inactive state; col.10, lines 14–16), and

transferring state information between the plurality of functional units (512, 514, 516, 518) and a memory unit (500) such that the plurality of functional units operates alternately upon the portions (time slot) of the multiple serial data channels (col.5, lines 28-63; col.7, lines 53-67; col.10, lines 8-13);

wherein different state information is transferred for each serial data channel depending on which serial data channel's portion is being operated on by the plurality of functional units {col.10, lines 8-32}.

Application/Control Number: 09/228,445 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowett (5,991,817) in view Chiu (6,327,259).

Regarding claim 1:

Rowett discloses a serial communication controller (45, fig.1) for transmitting and receiving a serial data stream {col.4, lines 34-41} including multiple serial data channels (fig.11a) having portions which alternate in time with respect to each other {col.10, line 34-col.11, line 7}. Rowett does not explicitly disclose the detailed of the serial communication controller as claimed which comprising a plurality of functional units configured to operate in series according to a serial communication protocol, wherein each functional

Art Unit: 2665

unit is configured to perform a different specific function of said serial communication protocol, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates in time sequence upon the portions of the multiple serial data channels; and wherein the plurality of functional units is configured to perform said serial communication protocol on the multiple serial data channels.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Chiu discloses a detailed structure of the serial communication controller comprising:

a plurality of functional units (512, 514, 516, 518; fig.7) configured to operate in series according to a serial communication protocol (HDLC), wherein each functional unit is configured to perform a different specific function of said serial communication protocol, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates in time sequence (figs.7–8; col.5, lines 33–38) upon the portions of the multiple serial data channels (channels B and D, col.5, lines 38–42){col.7, line 53–col.8, line 3; figs.7–8}; and

wherein the plurality of functional units is configured to perform said serial communication protocol (HDLC) on the multiple serial data channels {col.10, lines 8-25}.

Art Unit: 2665

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Chiu's teaching to Rowett's system with the motivation being to improve interface for a time division multiplexed bus wherein the serial communication is using less than the entire time slot and to selectively transmit at a particular bit position within a frame on the TDM bus.

Page 6

Regarding claim 2:

Rowett does not explicitly disclose wherein the serial data stream includes digital data of only one of the multiple serial data channels at any given time, and wherein each of the multiple serial data channels is assigned a periodically recurring time segment and is active during its assigned time segment, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates upon the active serial data channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Chiu discloses wherein the serial data stream includes digital data of only one of the multiple serial data channels at any given time, and wherein each of the multiple serial data channels is assigned a periodically recurring time segment and is active during

its assigned time segment, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates upon the active serial data channel {col.6, lines 32-51}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Chiu's teaching to Rowett's system with the motivation being to provide multiple HDLC channels to communicate over a single external bus via individually assigned TSAs, and to allow data of any width to be placed anywhere within the time division multiplexed frame.

5. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurnick (5,721,726) in view Chiu (6,327,259).

Regarding claim 14:

Kurnick discloses a serial communication system, comprising:

an interface unit (74) adapted for coupling to a transmission medium (54), wherein the interface unit is configured to receive a receive serial data stream including alternating portions of multiple serial data channels from the transmission medium and to provide the receive serial data stream;

Art Unit: 2665

a serial communication controller (60–66, fig.2) coupled to receive the clock signal (from internal timer 52 in RISC 50, fig.3 or TSA 76, fig.2) and the receive serial data stream (from serial interface 74, fig.2){col.5, lines 60–62, 64–65}.

Kurnick does not explicitly disclose wherein the serial communication controller comprises a plurality of functional units (60–66) configured to operate in series according to a serial communication protocol, and wherein each functional unit is configured to perform a different specific function of said serial communication protocol, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates alternately upon the portions of the multiple serial data channels of the receive serial data stream to perform said serial communication protocol on the multiple serial data channels.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Chiu discloses the serial communication controller (HDLCA-200, fig.6) comprises a plurality of functional units (elements 512, 514, 516, 518 in 502-fig.8 and 506-fig.7) configured to operate in series according to a serial communication protocol (HDLC), and wherein each functional unit (512, 514, 516, 518) is configured to

Art Unit: 2665

perform a different specific function of said serial communication protocol, and wherein the plurality of functional units operates alternately upon the portions of the multiple serial data channels of the receive serial data stream to perform said serial communication protocol on the multiple serial data channels {figs.7-8}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Chiu's teaching to Kurnick's system with the motivation being to improve interface for a time division multiplexed bus wherein the serial communication is using less than the entire time slot and to selectively transmit at a particular bit position within a frame on the TDM bus.

Page 9

Regarding claim 15:

Kurnick further discloses wherein the serial communication controller (24) is further configured to produce a transmit serial data stream including alternating portions of multiple serial data channels {col.6, lines 33-46}, and wherein the interface unit (74) is coupled to receive the transmit serial data stream and further configure to drive the transmit serial data stream upon the transmission medium (28){fig.2, Kurnick}.

Application/Control Number: 09/228,445 Page 10

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 16:

Kurnick further discloses wherein the serial communication controller (24) is adapted for coupling to a host processor (22){fig.1, Kurnick}.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-5, 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 6-10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose

Art Unit: 2665

Page 11

telephone number is 703-305-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

March 10, 2004

STEVEN H.D NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER